

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that the issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

The Applicant has amended claims. The Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claim set are fully supported by the originally filed specification.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

At page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-3, 8-21 and 25-29 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. In particular, the Examiner has stated that it is not clear from claim 1 whether it is the same or separate computer system(s) which performs the steps of the claim. Claim 1 has been amended to specify that the same computer system performs the steps of the method.

Claim 1 has also been rejected as the limitation of "*sensing at least some of the coded data and generating, using the sensed coded, the indicating data*" is not clear". This limitation of claim 1 has been amended to specify "*sensing at least some of the coded data and generating, using the sensed coded data, the indicating data*".

The Applicant respectfully submits that the amended claims are clear. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Double Patenting

The Examiner has rejected claims 2, 8-21, 25-29, 36-43 and 48 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15, 18-30 and 35 of US Patent Application No. 10/685,455.

The Applicant files herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection.



CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112. The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance of all the claims presently under examination.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:

PAUL LAPSTUN

Applicant:

JACQUELINE ANNE LAPSTUN

Applicant:

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762